

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

DOI/IO 5372-82

26 MAR 1982

U-8125/DT-3

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR INTELLIGENCE, IC STAFF ATTENTION: CHAIRMAN, INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP ON INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTION

Evaluation of GDIP Production Enhancement SUBJECT:

LOGGED

In response to the DCI request, an assessment has been LIA 4-1 ucted of the utility of the production conducted of the utility of the production enhancement 13 APR 1931 initiatives program. Chiefs of each Service intelligence component and the DIA general military intelligence and scientific and technical intelligence functional managers have evaluated the usefulness of each of the 12 ongoing initiatives in terms of expected benefits as originally stated, work actually accomplished or currently anticipated, and benefits actually realized or currently anticipated.

- Our evaluations have determined that in every case but one (Multiagency Service Organization for Analysis - AFIN) the benefits actually realized or currently anticipated warrant continuation and completion of the initiatives. In the Multiagency Service Organization for the case of Analysis, the expected establishment of the interagency group has not occurred and a more limited approach is being pursued which may yet produce results for improved Air Force, if not interagency, production.
- Only the Air Force response addressed the larger question of whether the overall program should be continued and it recommended that the program be continued without the restriction of manpower. Army and Navy subsequently are on record in support of the Air Force position.
- Notwithstanding the views of the Services, evaluation of the overall program has led me to conclude that concerns production regarding the value and propriety of the enhancement initiatives program stated in my memorandum of 5 Nov 81, (Serial No. C-2187-VP-A2) are still valid. While it may not be advisable to eliminate this program, your office might take steps to alleviate some of those concerns by encouraging each agency to include proposed production enhancement initiative packages as part of their regular

program. If each agency ranked such packages relative to other more conventional requirements, it would give the IC Staff a better perspective on the views of managers regarding the comparative importance of these initiatives to the management of that agency.

5. Individual Service evaluations were provided informally to Mr. Dan Worthington of your staff on 17 Feb 1982.

Edward M Collins;

Vice Director for Foreign Intelligence